Objectives of this First Meeting

clarify the boundary between what is or not in Hi-Lite’s scope

describe the facilities to express properties in ALFA and E-ACSL

agree on priorities for supporting these facilities in tools
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Summary
a coffee-machine should pour coffee only when the amount of money is sufficient and the user did not abort the process

```ada
function Deliver_Dose return Boolean
with Pre => Price >= 0,
    Post => Deliver_Dose 'Result =
           Cash >= Price
           and not Changed_My_Mind 'Old;
```

- precondition can:
  - protect against invalid use of the function
  - enforce a protocol (a state automaton) on subprograms

- postcondition can:
  - describe properties of the post-state and result ('Result)
  - relate the post-state and the pre-state ('Old)
Pre and Postconditions are not about …

- absence of exceptions
- exceptional postcondition (like in JML)
- termination
- memory/time footprint
- read and write effects (like the global in/out of SPARK):

  PushElement is the only way to insert elements in the list

- sequences of events:

  each time I call ProcessAllElements, all elements that were inserted using PushElement are processed
Test Cases as GNAT-Specific Aspect

```haskell
function Deliver_Dose return Boolean with Test_Case_1 =>
  ( Requires => Cash >= 0
    and Cash < Price
    and not Changed_My_Mind,
    Ensures => not Deliver_Dose ' Result
    and not Error );
```

- same as *behavior* in JML, ACSL
  - Requires: describes pre-state like Pre
  - Ensures: relates pre-state and post-state like Post
- possible splitting of Requires part into:
  - Assumes: when the test-case applies
  - Requires: additional preconditions for this test-case
  - *if the software is in the state S, we can only receive this kind of input, and then the software computes this result*
  - precondition is split between Pre and Requires
function Deliver_Dose return Boolean
with Partition => (Cash < Price, Changed_My_Mind);

- partitions the pre-state along some predicates:
  - case 1: (Cash < Price) and Changed_My_Mind
  - case 2: (Cash < Price) and not Changed_My_Mind
  - case 3: not (Cash < Price) and Changed_My_Mind
  - case 4: not (Cash < Price) and not Changed_My_Mind

- equivalent to a set of test-cases with Ensures = True
- opens the possibility of combined testing/verification

type Ordering is (Less_Than, Equal, Greater_Than);
function Compare (X, Y : Amount) return Ordering;
function Deliver_Dose return Boolean
with Partition => (Compare (Cash, Price), Changed_My_Mind);
function Deliver_Dose return Boolean
with Pre ➞ (if Changed_My_Mind then Price = 0),
Post ➞ (Deliver_Dose 'Result =
  case Compare (Cash, Price) is
    when Less Than ➞ False
    when Equal |
      Greater Than ➞ True);

- conditional expressions:
  - (if A then B) instead of (not A or else B)
  - (if A then B else C) instead of (A and then B or else not A and then C) when B, C Boolean
  - B, C of same type (not only Boolean)

- case expressions:
  - all alternatives of same type (not only Boolean)
  - compiler checks exhaustivity
Parameterized Expressions in Ada 2012

```ada
function Deliver_Dose return Boolean is
  ( Price >= 0 and Cash >= Price and not Changed_My_Mind );
```

► rules:
  ► function body is an expression
  ► no calls to regular functions, only other expression functions
  ► can be defined in package specification

► benefits:
  ► guaranteed without (write) side-effects
  ► gives the exact read effects
  ► allows exposing the precise specification
  ► no need for (read/write) effect analysis
  ► no need for pure pragma
  ► no need for global annotations
a list can be used to store the set of currently enabled monitoring of a system. Then, we may wish to verify that if no monitoring detects failure, then no recovery is triggered

```ada
package Enabled_Monitorings is
  new Ada.Containers.Doubly_Linked_Lists (Monitoring);

L : Enabled_Monitorings.List;

pragma Assert
  ( if ( for all M in L | not Detects_Failure (M))
  then Recovery_Mode = None );
```

- quantification over an array range
- quantification over a container
- universal (for all) and existential (for some) quantification
we may wish to verify that if some monitoring detects failure, then the recovery with the highest priority is triggered

```ada
package Recoveries is
  new Ada.Containers.Ordered_Set (Recovery);

R : Recoveries.Set;

pragma Assert
  ( if ( for some M in L | Detects_Failure (M) ) then
    Max (R, Order_By_Priority 'Access) = The_Recovery );
```

- JML \sum, \product, \max, \min:
- min, max expressible with existential quantification
- best handled with dedicated support
- sum, product not expressible otherwise
- special kinds of folding operations
we may need to verify that in a list at most n elements are in a given state

```plaintext
function Is_Active (M : Monitoring) return Boolean;
pragma Assert (Num_Of (L, Is_Active 'Access) <= N);
```
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a well-formed stack is bounded by values Top and Bottom, with Top never less than Bottom - 1

package Stacks is

    type Stack is private
    with Invariant \( \Rightarrow \) Well_FormedStackSize (Stack);

private

    type S is record
        Bottom, Top : Natural;
        ...
    end record;

function Well_FormedStackSize (S : Stack) return Boolean is
    (S.Bottom - 1 <= S.Top);

end Stack;
Invariants are checked:
- on object initialization
- on conversion to the type
- on return from function that creates object of the type
- on return from subprogram that has (in)- out parameter of the type

- type-specific Invariant and classwide Invariant'Class (follows Liskov)
- not bullet-proof (access values, visible components)
- hopefully match upcoming type invariants in SPARK!
Subtype Predicates

an even number is a natural number divisible by 2

a t-day is a day whose English name begins by 't'

a weekend-schedule is a schedule for a weekend day

```pascal
subtype Even is Natural
  with Predicate => (Even mod 2 = 0);

type Day is (Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, Saturday, Sunday);
subtype T_Day is Day
  with Predicate => (T_Day in Tuesday | Thursday);

type Schedule (D : Day) is record ... end record;
subtype Weekend_Schedule is Schedule
  with Weekend_Schedule.D in Saturday .. Sunday;
```
Predicates are checked:
  ▶ on every subtype conversion (assignments)
  ▶ on all parameter passing

applicable to any subtype

most common use: non-contiguous enumeration types

not same as Ada constraints (range, not null): *constraints can only be violated for invalid values, whereas predicates can be violated in various ways*
No. 1 problem in proving programs!

- loop invariant must be:
  - true: like an assertion
  - inductive: provable from both previous context of loop (first iteration) or from itself at previous iteration
  - strong enough: sufficient to prove code that follows
  - simple enough: to be written by the programmer

- loop invariant subtleties:
  - depends on VC generation: some tools (Why) do not require to state properties of variable not modified in the loop, some do (Examiner)
  - checked either at loop head or inside loop body (SPARK allows both)

```pragma Loop_Invariant (...); — ???```
Loop Invariant for Containers

A loop iterates over a set to increment its values

declare
  Cont : Set;
  Cur : Cursor := First (Cont);
begin
  while Has_Element (Cur) loop
    pragma Assert (for all X in Cont range
                    First (Cont) .. Previous (Cur) |
                    X = X'Old + 1);
    pragma Assert (for all X in Right (Cont, Cur) |
                    X = X'Old);
    Replace_Element (Cont, Cur, Element (Cur) + 1);
    Next (Cur);
  end loop;
end;
New API for Containers

- remove unprovable functionalities:
  - subprograms taking access procedures as parameters (Query_Element, Update_Element, Iterate)
  - prevents any “tampering”
  - replaced by direct use of cursors

- new model for cursors:
  - a simple “index” in the physical array of data
  - need to pass the container as parameter for reads too

- need richer API for loop invariants:
  - position of cursors
  - validity of cursors
  - “left” and “right” sub-containers
  - new functions are **terribly inefficient**! typically only for annotations, not code
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Propositions in Ada 2012

- Syntax for aspects on declarations
- Pre and postconditions on subprograms
- Conditional expressions
- Case expressions
- Parameterized expressions
- Quantified expressions (over array or container)
- Invariants on private types
- Predicates on subtypes
Propositions in GNAT

- Test cases on subprograms
- Partitioning of inputs on subprograms
- Min, Max, Sum and Num_Of in API of containers
- Loop invariant as pragma
- New API for containers (for proofs)